Kerbala Journal of Veterinary Medical Sciences Issue (1), Volume (1), (2025)

A Review about Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Pathology, and Enhancing Histological Analysis

Namir I. Mohammed, A.J. Jihad and Eman Jawad Jabber

College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Kerbala, Kerbala , Iraq.
Corresponding author: namer.i@uokerbala.edu.iq

Received: 2/6/2025 **Accepted:** 11/6/2025 **Published:** 15/6/2025

***Abstract*— The use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in medical pathology is revolutionizing disease diagnosis, especially through the analysis of digital histological slides. Traditional slide examination, while effective, is time-consuming and subject to variability. AI, powered by deep learning and computer vision, enhances diagnostic accuracy, efficiency, and consistency.**

AI has demonstrated high accuracy in identifying cancer and other abnormalities, with models like "Chief" achieving up to 94% accuracy. It also enables quantitative histomorphometry, helping pathologists assess tissue features with precision. Moreover, AI can integrate histopathological data with genomic insights, potentially identifying treatment options without the need for costly genetic testing.

Automation of routine tasks by AI streamlines workflows, while explainable AI tools like when the highest paid person's opinion (HIPPO) ensure transparency in AI decision-making. However, challenges remain, including data quality, computational demands, and the need for regulatory oversight.

Advancements like PathProfiler improve the diagnostic usability of digital slides, and large-scale models such as Virchow are pushing the boundaries of cancer detection using massive datasets. Overall, AI is becoming an indispensable tool in pathology, driving progress toward more personalized and effective healthcare. This research aims to demonstrate that artificial intelligence, through deep learning and interpretable tools such as HIPPO, is transforming healthcare pathology by enhancing the efficiency, diagnostic accuracy and transparency of digital histology analysis, addressing challenges and demonstrating advances that drive personalized healthcare driven by sound data.

***Keywords* — Pathology, Digital Histological Analysis, Artificial Intelligence.**

INTRODUCTION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into medical pathology is reshaping how diseases are diagnosed and managed, with a significant impact on tissue slide analysis. Traditionally, pathologists have relied on manual examination of histological slides under a microscope to identify abnormalities, grade tumors, and assess disease progression. While this process remains the gold standard, it is time-consuming, subject to human variability, and increasingly challenged by rising diagnostic workloads(1).

AI, particularly through advances in deep learning and computer vision, offers powerful tools to assist and augment the work of pathologists. By analyzing digital whole-slide images with high speed and precision, AI systems can detect patterns, quantify features, and support more accurate and consistent diagnoses. These capabilities not only enhance diagnostic accuracy and efficiency but also pave the way for more standardized and personalized patient care. As digital pathology becomes more widespread, the application of AI in analyzing tissue slides represents a major leap forward in modern pathology, offering solutions to some of the field's most pressing challenges (2).

1. Enhanced Diagnostic Accuracy

AI algorithms, especially deep learning models, have demonstrated remarkable proficiency in detecting and classifying abnormalities in tissue slides. For instance, a study by Harvard Medical School introduced an AI model named "Chief," which achieved up to 94% accuracy in detecting multiple cancer types from whole-slide images (WSIs). This performance surpassed existing AI methods by up to 36%. Moreover, a systematic review encompassing over 152,000 WSIs reported a mean sensitivity of 96.3% and specificity of 93.3% for AI applications in digital pathology, underscoring the reliability of AI in disease detection (3).

2. Quantitative Histomorphometry

AI facilitates the extraction of quantitative data from tissue slides, enabling detailed analysis of cellular structures and patterns. Techniques like quantitative histomorphometry allow pathologists to assess features such as nuclear orientation, texture, and tissue architecture, which are crucial for understanding tumor behavior and progression (4). Applications of Quantitative Histomorphometry include cancer Grading and Prognosis Quantitative features improve reproducibility and predictive accuracy over subjective grading (5). Histomorphometric features are correlated with molecular and genomic data for discovering new biomarkers (6). Tumor Microenvironment (TME) Characterization, analyze the spatial relationship between tumor cells, immune infiltrates, and stroma (7).

3. Integration with Genomic Data

Advanced AI models can correlate histopathological features with genomic alterations, offering insights into tumor biology and potential therapeutic targets. The "Chief" model, for example, links tumor cell patterns to genomic aberrations, potentially suggesting effective treatments without the need for expensive DNA sequencing (4,8).

4. Workflow Optimization

AI streamlines pathology workflows by automating time-consuming tasks such as slide scanning, image annotation, and preliminary analysis. This automation reduces the workload on pathologists, allowing them to focus on complex cases and decision-making processes, thereby enhancing overall productivity and diagnostic turnaround times (4,9).

5. Explainable AI in Pathology

Understanding the rationale behind AI decisions is crucial for clinical acceptance. The development of explainable AI frameworks, such as HIPPO, enables pathologists to visualize and interpret the factors influencing AI predictions. For example, HIPPO has been applied to tasks like breast cancer metastasis detection and glioma mutation classification, providing transparency and fostering trust in AI-assisted diagnostics (10).

6. Challenges and Considerations

Despite its advancements, the application of AI in pathology faces several challenges:

- Data Quality: The preparation of tissue slides involves complex processes that can introduce artifacts, affecting the quality of input data for AI models (11).
- Computational Demands: Whole-slide images are large and require substantial computational resources for processing and analysis (12).
- Regulatory and Ethical Issues: There is a need for standardized protocols, regulatory guidelines, and ethical considerations to ensure the safe and equitable deployment of AI technologies in clinical settings (13).

7. Improving the quality of digital images of histological slides

AI tools like PathProfiler enable the use of digital images of histological slides, aiding in digital diagnostics. In a study of 1,254 slide images, 4.5% of the images were identified as unsuitable for diagnosis, and measures were taken to improve their quality (14,15).

8. Analyzing tissue-related genetic data

AI techniques are used to analyze tissue-related genetic data, contributing to a better understanding of diseases and personalized treatments. For example, researchers developed a model called Virchow, a foundational model in digital pathology, trained on 1.5 million tissue slide images, enabling the detection of 17 different types of cancer with high accuracy (16,17).

Controlling

The integration of AI into pathology, particularly in the analysis of tissue slides, has ushered in a new era of precision medicine. While challenges remain, ongoing advancements and research continue to enhance the capabilities and applications of AI in this field, promising improved patient outcomes and more efficient healthcare delivery.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Establish uniform protocols for slide digitization and annotation to ensure reliable AI training, combine histological, genomic, and clinical data for more precise, personalized diagnoses, train clinicians in AI tools to foster effective human-AI collaboration and support shared platforms like PathProfiler and Virchow to drive global innovation.

References

1) Niazi, M. K. K., Parwani, A. V., & Gurcan, M. N. (2019). Digital pathology and artificial intelligence. *The lancet oncology, 20*(5), e253-e261.DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30111-2

2) Go, H. (2022). Digital pathology and artificial intelligence applications in pathology. *Brain tumor research and treatment, 10*(2), 76-82. doi.org/10.14791/btrt.2021.0032

3) McGenity, C., Clarke, E. L., Jennings, C., Matthews, G., Cartlidge, C., Freduah-Agyemang, H., ... & Treanor, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in digital pathology: a diagnostic test accuracy systematic review and meta-analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.07999*.

4) Shafi, S., & Parwani, A. V. (2023). Artificial intelligence in diagnostic pathology. *Diagnostic pathology*, 18(1), 109.

5) Beck, A. H., Sangoi, A. R., Leung, S., Marinelli, R. J., Nielsen, T. O., Van De Vijver, M. J., ... & Koller, D. (2011). Systematic analysis of breast cancer morphology uncovers stromal features associated with survival. *Science translational medicine, 3*(108), 108ra113-108ra113.

6) Natrajan, R., Sailem, H., Mardakheh, F. K., Arias Garcia, M., Tape, C. J., Dowsett, M., ... & Yuan, Y. (2016). Microenvironmental heterogeneity parallels breast cancer progression: a histology–genomic integration analysis. *PLoS medicine*, *13*(2), e1001961.

7) Zarella MD, McClintock DS, Batra H, Gullapalli RR, Valante M, Tan VO, Dayal S, Oh KS, Lara H, Garcia CA, Abels E. Artificial intelligence and digital pathology: clinical promise and deployment considerations. *Journal of Medical Imaging.* 2023 Sep 1;10(5):051802-.

8) Nierengarten, M. B. (2025). New AI model shows promise for cancer diagnosis.doi: 10.1002/cncr.35715.doi: 10.1002/cncr.35715

9) Schwen, L. O., Kiehl, T. R., Carvalho, R., Zerbe, N., & Homeyer, A. (2023). Digitization of pathology labs: a review of lessons learned. Laboratory Investigation, 103(11), 100244.

10) Kaczmarzyk, J. R., Saltz, J. H., & Koo, P. K. (2024). Explainable AI for computational pathology identifies model limitations and tissue biomarkers. ArXiv, arXiv-2409..

11) Montefiori, M., Lyngholm-Kjærby, C., Long, A., Olsen, L., & Jørgensen, F. S. (2019). Fast methods for prediction of aldehyde oxidase-mediated site-of-metabolism. Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal, 17, 345-351.

12) Jiménez, G., & Racoceanu, D. (2023). Computational Pathology for Brain Disorders. Machine Learning for Brain Disorders, 533-572.

13) Saltz, J., Gupta, R., Hou, L., Kurc, T., Singh, P., Nguyen, V., ... & Danilova, L. (2018). Spatial organization and molecular correlation of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes using deep learning on pathology images. Cell reports, 23(1), 181-193.

14) Browning, L., Jesus, C., Malacrino, S., Guan, Y., White, K., Puddle, A., ... & Verrill, C. (2024). Artificial intelligence-based quality assessment of histopathology whole-slide images within a clinical workflow: assessment of ‘PathProfiler’in a diagnostic pathology setting. Diagnostics, 14(10), 990.

15) Janowczyk, A., Zuo, R., Gilmore, H., Feldman, M., & Madabhushi, A. (2019). HistoQC: an open-source quality control tool for digital pathology slides. JCO clinical cancer informatics, 3, 1-7.

16) Vorontsov, E., Bozkurt, A., Casson, A., Shaikovski, G., Zelechowski, M., Liu, S., ... & Fuchs, T. J. (2023). Virchow: A million-slide digital pathology foundation model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.07778.

17) Vorontsov, E., Bozkurt, A., Casson, A., Shaikovski, G., Zelechowski, M., Severson, K., and Fuchs, T. J. (2024). A foundation model for clinical-grade computational pathology and rare cancers detection. *Nature medicine, 30*(10), 2924-2935.